

JAMES RUHLMANN, et al.,)	Case No. 2:14-cv-00879-RFB-NJK
Plaintiff(s),)	ORDER
v.)	(Docket No. 247)
GLENN RUDOLFSKY, et al.,)	
Defendant(s).)	

Accordingly, the motion to seal is **DENIED** without prejudice. The parties shall confer on whether the settlement agreement should be sealed. To the extent any party believes that it should be, then Defendants shall file a sealed version of the settlement agreement and a separate motion to seal that settlement agreement by December 28, 2017. To the extent Defendants continue to seek sealing of the

1 settlement agreement, they shall file a declaration in support of the motion to seal attesting to the
2 grounds for sealing. In the event a party other than Defendants is seeking the sealing of the settlement
3 agreement, that party shall provide Defendants with a declaration attesting to the grounds for sealing by
4 December 27, 2017, and Defendants shall file that declaration along with the motion to seal.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: December 18, 2017

7
8 

NANCY J. KORPE
United States Magistrate Judge